

COMMUNITY & ENTERPRISE OVERVEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

| Date of Meeting | Wednesday 15 th November, 2017 | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Report Subject | Mid-Year Council Plan 2017/18 Monitoring Report | | | | |
| Cabinet Member | Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Housing Cabinet Member for Economic Development | | | | |
| Report Author | Chief Officer (Community & Enterprise) | | | | |
| Type of Report | Operational | | | | |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Council Plan 2017/23 was adopted by the Council in September 2017. This report presents the mid-year monitoring of progress for the Council Plan priorities 'Supportive Council' and 'Ambitious Council' relevant to the Community & Enterprise Overview & Scrutiny Committee.

Flintshire is a high performing Council as evidenced in previous Council (Improvement) Plan monitoring reports as well as in the Council's Annual Performance Reports. This first monitoring report for the 2017/18 Council Plan is a positive report, with 88% of activities being assessed as making good progress, and 67% likely to achieve the desired outcome. In addition, 65% of the performance indicators met or exceeded target. Risks are also being successfully managed with the majority being assessed as moderate (67%) or minor (8%).

This report is an exception based report and therefore detail focuses on the areas of under-performance.

| RECO | MMENDATION |
|------|--|
| 1 | That the Committee consider the Council Plan 2017/18 mid-year monitoring report to monitor under performance and request further information as appropriate. |

REPORT DETAILS

| 1.00 | EXPLAINING THE COUNCIL PLAN 2017/23 MONITORING REPORT | | | | | | |
|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1.01 | The Council Plan monitoring reports give an explanation of the progress being made toward the delivery of the impacts set out in the 2017/23 Council Plan. The narrative is supported by performance indicators and / or milestones which evidence achievement. In addition, there is an assessment of the strategic risks and the level to which they are being controlled. | | | | | | |
| 1.02 | This is an exception based report and detail therefore focuses on the areas of under-performance. | | | | | | |
| 1.03 | Monitoring our Activities Each of the sub-priorities have high level activities which are monitored over time. 'Progress' monitors progress against scheduled activity and has been categorised as follows: - RED: Limited Progress – delay in scheduled activity; not on track AMBER: Satisfactory Progress – some delay in scheduled activity, but broadly on track GREEN: Good Progress – activities completed on schedule, on track A RAG status is also given as an assessment of our level of confidence at this point in time in achieving the 'outcome(s)' for each sub-priority. Outcome has been categorised as: - RED: Low – lower level of confidence in the achievement of the outcome(s) AMBER: Medium – uncertain level of confidence in the achievement of the outcome(s) GREEN: High – full confidence in the achievement of the outcome(s) | | | | | | |
| 1.04 | In summary our overall progress against the high level activities is: - | | | | | | |
| | ACTIVITES PROGRESS | | | | | | |
| | We are making good (green) progress in 51 (88%). | | | | | | |
| | We are making satisfactory (amber) progress in 7 (12%). | | | | | | |
| | ACTIVITIES OUTCOME | | | | | | |
| | We have a high (green) level of confidence in the achievement of 39 (67%). | | | | | | |
| | We have a medium (amber) level of confidence in the achievement of 19 (33%). | | | | | | |
| | • We have a low (red) level of confidence in the achievement of 0 (0%). | | | | | | |
| 1.05 | Monitoring our Performance Analysis of performance against the Improvement Plan performance indicators is undertaken using the RAG (Red, Amber Green) status. This is defined as follows: - | | | | | | |

| | RED equates to a position of under-performance against target. | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | AMBER equates to a mid-position where improvement may have been made but performance has missed the target. | | | | | | | | |
| | GREEN equates to a position of positive performance against target. | | | | | | | | |
| 1.06 | Analysis of current levels of performance shows the following: - | | | | | | | | |
| | 46 (65%) had achieved a green RAG status | | | | | | | | |
| | 18 (25%) had achieved an amber RAG status | | | | | | | | |
| | 7 (10%) had achieved a red RAG status | | | | | | | | |
| 1.07 | The performance indicators (PI) which showed a red RAG status for current performance relevant to the Community & Enterprise Overview & Scrutiny Committee are: - | | | | | | | | |
| | Priority: Supportive Council | | | | | | | | |
| | PI: The number of new homes created as a result of bringing empty properties back into use: | | | | | | | | |
| | The Empty Homes Officer position has been vacant since July 2017. The service is responding to empty property reports in the usual way, with extra support from the Environmental Health team, but no properties have been brought back into use in this period. | | | | | | | | |
| | PI: Average number of calendar days taken to deliver a DFG | | | | | | | | |
| | The average number of calendar days taken to deliver a Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG) has been increased by a small number of DFGs for children completing in this quarter which have had very lengthy completion dates due to their complexity. | | | | | | | | |
| 1.08 | Monitoring our Risks | | | | | | | | |
| | Analysis of the current risk levels for the strategic risks identified in the Improvement Plan is as follows: - | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 (2%) is insignificant (green) | | | | | | | | |
| | 4 (8%) are minor (yellow) | | | | | | | | |
| | • 32 (67%) are moderate (amber) | | | | | | | | |
| | 11 (23%) are major (red) | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 (0%) are severe (black) | | | | | | | | |
| 1.09 | The major (red) risks identified for the Community & Enterprise Overview & Scrutiny Committee are: - | | | | | | | | |
| | Priority: Supportive Council Risk: Availability of sufficient funding to resource key priorities. | | | | | | | | |
| | The adaptations budget is monitored monthly to ensure there is sufficient availability for funding key priorities. In addition to this a Community and Enterprise Efficiency and Resilience Statement has been prepared which sets | | | | | | | | |

| the resilience levels for the current year in terms of service scale and quality, |
|---|
| capability and service sustainability which includes the impact in the event of |
| funding being withdrawn. Demand for adaptations is exceeding the capital |
| budget available in 2017/18. |

Risk: Debt levels will rise if tenants are unable to afford to pay their rent or council tax.

We are currently working together to identify early intervention tools for those tenants that fall into arrears with their rent. A model based on the Early Help Hub within Children's Services is being explored and resources committed to ensure that homelessness is prevented and rent collection is maximised.

| 1.10 | The Council Plan sub-priority 'Supportive Council' has elements which are | | | | | | | |
|------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | relevant to both the Community & Enterprise Overview & Scrutiny Committee | | | | | | | |
| | and Social & Health Care Overview & Scrutiny Committee. Therefore this | | | | | | | |
| | sub-priority will be presented to both Committee's for scrutiny. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| 2.00 | RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS |
|------|--|
| 2.01 | There are no specific resource implications for this report. |

| 3.00 | CONSULTATIONS REQUIRED / CARRIED OUT | | | | | |
|------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3.01 | The Council Plan Priorities are monitored by the appropriate Overview and Scrutiny Committees according to the priority area of interest. | | | | | |
| 3.02 | Chief Officers have contributed towards reporting of relevant information. | | | | | |

| 4.00 | RISK MANAGEMENT |
|------|--|
| 4.01 | Progress against the risks identified in the Council Plan is included in the report at Appendix 1 and 2. Summary information for the risks assessed as major (red) is covered in paragraphs 1.07 and 1.09 above. |

| 5.00 | APPENDICES |
|------|---|
| 5.01 | Appendix 1 – Council Plan 2017/18 Mid-Year Progress Report – Supportive Council |
| 5.02 | Appendix 2 – Council Plan 2017/18 Mid-Year Progress Report – Ambitious Council |

6.00 LIST OF ACCESSIBLE BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

6.01 Council Plan 2017/18: http://www.flintshire.gov.uk/en/Resident/Counciland-Democracy/Improvement-Plan.aspx Contact Officer: Ceri Shotton Telephone: 01352 702305 E-mail: ceri.shotton@flintshire.gov.uk

| 7.00 | GLOSSARY OF TERMS | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------|---|-------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 7.01 | Council Plan: the document which sets out the annual priorities of the Council. It is a requirement of the Local Government (Wales) Measure 2009 to set Improvement Objectives and publish a Council Plan. | | | | | | | | |
| 7.02 Risks: These are assessed using the improved approach to management endorsed by Audit Committee in June 2015. The new apprincludes the use of a new and more sophisticated risk assessment which provides greater opportunities to show changes over time. 7.03 Risk Likelihood and Impact Matrix | | | | | | | | v approach, | |
| | | | | _ | | | | | |
| | | Catastrophic | Y | A | R | R | B | В | |
| | Impact Severity | Critical | Y | A | A | R | R | R | |
| | Impact | Marginal | G | Y | Α | Α | А | R | |
| | | Negligible | G | G | Y | Y | Α | A | |
| | | | Unlikely (5%) | Very Low (15%) | Low (30%) | Significant (50%) | Very High (65%) | Extremely High (80%) | |
| | | | Likelihood & Percentage of risk happening | | | | | | |
| | The new approach to risk assessment was created in response to recommendations in the Corporate Assessment report from the Wales Audit Office and Internal Audit. | | | | | | | | |